

Transportation System Management and Operations - Traffic Infrastructure Process Project Justification - Benefits Analysis

#### Introduction:

The project justification tool has been developed to assess and quantify potential monetary benefits per project type. The project justification tool uses readily available project specific data from the user and industry research to estimate potential project benefits. Project types include: new signal installation, signal replacement, signal rehab, signal retrofit, signal retiming, LED replacement, intersection communication, software, ITS device replacement, and ITS device deployment.

Several types of project benefits are considered for each project type: safety, mobility, productivity, and energy and environment. These estimated project benefits are then compared to the estimated total project cost. This methodology provides a clear and transparent manner in which to justify a specific project, reducing concerns of inefficient use of funding resources.

#### User Instruction:

Select the appropriate tab based on your project type. Provide project specific responses for each of the questions as appropriate. It should be noted that this analysis is a generalized, estimated approach to considering potential monetary benefits. It is expected that some responses will be estimates based on the users knowledge of the project and location.

#### User Resources:

The 'Data Needs' tab may be referenced to determine where each of the data requests may be obtained. Data sources include the Needs Analysis Tool, readily available information from the User, User estimated values, and asset management/maintenance information. For any questions or concerns, contact Mark Lloyd (mark.lloyd@dot.wi.gov) or Natalie Mengelkoch (natalie.mengelkoch@kimley-horn.com).



Transportation System Management and Operations - Traffic Infrastructure Process

### Project Justification - Data Needs

							Proje	ct Type					
Anticipated Data Source		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Data Request	New Signal	Signal Replacement	Signal Rehab	Signal Retrofit	Signal Retiming	LED Replacement	Intersection Communication	Software	ITS Device Replacement	DMS	Camera	Other
	Project Specific Description	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Licer Decemence	ITS Warrant Information										Х	Х	
User Response	Signal Warrant Information												
	Urban/Rural	Х	Х		Х								
	Estimations of Events per Year										Х	Х	
Estimated	Estimate of Average Event Duration										Х	Х	
Estimated	Estimate of Average Travel Time Savings										Х	Х	
	Estimated Peak Period Travel Time Reduction	Х											
Asset Management / Maintenance Reports	Maintenance Tickets and Cost		х	х	х			х		х			
Needs Analysis Tool	Crash Data by Type	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х			Х	Х	
	Average Vehicles Entering Intersection	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х					
	Average Daily Traffic Volumes Per Area										Х	Х	
	Relative Need - Level of Service Preset		Х		Х	Х							



Project Justification - New Signal Installation

Procurement and installation of controllers, bases and signals.

Region:	
Proposed Project Name:	
Requested By:	
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	
2 Which traffic signal warrants are met at this intersection?	
W1, Eight-Hour Veh Volume	
W2, Four-Hour Veh Volume	
W3, Peak Hour	
W4, Pedestrian Volume	
W5, School Crossing	
W6, Coordinated Signal System	
W7, Crash Experience	
W8, Roadway Network	
W9, Near Grade Crossing	
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide	e the anticipated level of need in the vicinity
of the proposed project:	
Defa	ult TIP
	Safety
Mobility (Pr	esent)
Mobility (F	
	ervice
- Freight Perforr	
4 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a result of the	nis project?
Safety	
Mobility (Reduction of Travel Time Delay or Variability	
/ Increased Throughput)	
Safety Benefits	
Salety Delients	
Is this intersection located in an Urban or Rural area (Urban is d	efined as an incorporated area with a
S1. population of 5,000 or greater)?	
S2. How many crashes, by type, occurred in the past year at this int	arraction?
S2. How many crashes, by type, occurred in the past year at this int	
	Fatal Crashes
	Incapacitating Injury Crashes
	Non-incapacitating Injury Crashes
	Possible Injury Crashes
	Property Damage Only Crashes
S3. What is the average number of vehicles entering the intersection	
	Million Entering Vehicles
Estimated Safety Be	enefit: #DIV/0!

Mobility Benef	its
M1.	Is it anticipated that the proposed project will reduce travel time delay?
M2.	What is the estimated ADT for all vehicles entering the intersection (the Needs Analysis Tool can be used to add the bi-directional traffic along the intersecting roadways)?
М3.	What is the anticipated average peak period travel time reduction per vehicle?
	Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$0
Energy and Env	ironment Benefits
	Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benefit:

Estimated Annual Benefit: Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio: #DIV/0! #DIV/0!



## **Project Justification - Signal Replacement**

Replacement of signals including geometric improvements and upgrades for construction.

Region:	
Proposed Project Name:	
Requested By:	
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2 What is the primary purpose of the project?	
3 What is the primary improvement type?	
If other, provide a brief description of improvement type	е.

<sup>4</sup> Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project:

Default TIP	
Safety	
Mobility (Present)	
Mobility (Future)	
Service	
Freight Performance	

5 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a result of this project?



Safety Benefits		
S1.	Is this intersection located in an Urban or Rural area (Urban is defined a population of 5,000 or greater)?	as an incorporated area with a
S2.	How many crashes, by type, occurred in the past year at this intersection	on?
		Fatal Crashes Incapacitating Injury Crashes Non-incapacitating Injury Crashes Possible Injury Crashes Property Damage Only Crashes
S3.	What is the average number of vehicles entering the intersection per y	ear? Million Entering Vehicles
	Estimated Safety Benefit:	#DIV/0!

Mobility Benefi	ts
M1.	What is the estimated ADT for all vehicles entering the intersection (the Needs Analysis Tool can be used to add the bi-directional traffic along the intersecting roadways)?
	vehicles per day
M2.	What is the average Relative Need at this intersection according to the Needs Analysis Tool - Service preset?
	Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$0
Productivity Be	nefits
P1.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at this intersection.
	How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in
P2.	P1?
P3.	What was the total cost of these tickets?
	Estimated Annual Productivity Benefit: \$0

Energy and Environment Benefits	
Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benefit:	\$0

Estimated Annual Benefit:	#DIV/0!
Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio:	#DIV/0!



## Project Justification - Signal Rehabilitation

Upgrade, install or replace detection, controllers, battery backup, etc.

Region:	
Proposed Project Name: Requested By:	
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the vicinity of the proposed project:	anticipated level of need in the
Default TIP	
Safety	
Mobility (Present)	
Mobility (Future) Service	
Freight Performance	
Productivity (Improved Maintenance) 4 Indicate number of intersections this request accounts for:	]
roductivity Benefits	
It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reductivity for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the propos	
How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location ove P2. in P1 (if request is for multiple intersections, include cumulative total	
P3. What was the total cost of these tickets?	tickets
Estimated Annual Productivity Benefit	#N/A
Estimated Annual Benefit:	#N/A
Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio:	#N/A



Safety

Transportation System Management and Operations

## Project Justification - Signal Retrofit

Procure and install monotubes, procure and install flashing yellow arrows, safety improvements not requiring major construction and adaptive signal systems.

Reg Proposed Project Na Requested	
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	
2 What is the primary improvement type?	
3 If minor safety improvements or other, provide a b	prief description of the proposed improvements.
<ul> <li><sup>4</sup> of the proposed project:</li> <li>Fr</li> <li>5 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as</li> </ul>	afety bility put)
nefits	
Is it anticipated that the proposed improvements v S1. intersection will be expected?	vill increase safety such that an average crash rate at this
S2. How many crashes, by type, occurred in the past ye	ear at this intersection? Fatal Crashes Incapacitating Injury Crashes Non-incapacitating Injury Crashes Possible Injury Crashes Property Damage Only Crashes
S3. What is the average number of vehicles entering the	ne intersection per year? Million Entering Vehicles

S4.	Is this intersection located in an Urban or Rural area (Urban is defined as an incorporated area with a population of 5,000 or greater)?
	Estimated Safety Benefit: N/A
Mobility Bene	fits
M1.	What is the estimated ADT for all vehicles entering the intersection (the Needs Analysis Tool can be used to add the bi-directional traffic along the intersecting roadways)?
M2.	What is the average Relative Need at this intersection according to the Needs Analysis Tool - Service preset?
	Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$0
Productivity B	enefits It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at this intersection.
P2.	How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in P1?
РЗ.	What was the total cost of these tickets?
	Estimated Annual Productivity Benefit: \$0
Energy and En	vironment Benefits
	Energy and Environment benefits are determined based on average travel time reduction.
	Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benefit: \$0

Estimated Annual Benefit: Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio: \$0 #DIV/0!



# Project Justification - Signal Retiming Data collection, evaluation, prepare signal timing plan, develop and implement corridor coordination plan

Region:   Proposed Project Name:   Requested By:      1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?   Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project:    Default TIP  Safety
Requested By:   1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?   Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project:   Default TIP   Safety
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project? Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project: Default TIP Safety
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project: Default TIP Safety
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project: Default TIP Safety
<sup>2</sup> vicinity of the proposed project: Default TIP Safety
Safety
Safety
Mobility (Present)
Mobility (Future)
Service
Freight Performance
3 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a result of this project? Safety
Mobility (Reduction of Travel Time Delay or Variability /
Increased Throughput)
Safety Benefits
S1. Is the proposed signal retiming project expected to reduce the number of crashes at this intersection?
S2. How many crashes, by type, occurred in the past year at this intersection(s)?
Fatal Crashes
Incapacitating Injury Crashes
Non-incapacitating Injury Crashes
Possible Injury Crashes
Property Damage Only Crashes
Froperty Damage Only Clashes
Estimated Safety Benefit: \$0
Mobility Benefits
What is the estimated ADT for all vehicles entering the intersection (the Needs Analysis Tool can be used M1.
to add the bi-directional traffic along the intersecting roadways)?
vehicles per day
What is the average Relative Need at this intersection according to the Needs Analysis Tool - Service
M2. preset?
Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$0

Energy and Environment Benefits	
Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benefit:	\$0
Estimated Annual Benefit:	\$O
Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio:	#DIV/0!



## **Project Justification - LED Signal Replacement**

Procure and install all materials for annual LED signal 7 year replacement cycle.

Region:	Northwest
Proposed Project Name:	LED Replacement FY 16
Requested By:	David Fenske
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	\$22,500
2 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a res Productivity (Improved Maintenance) Energy and Environment	YES
Productivity Benefits	
P1. How many intersections are proposed to be replaced wi	th LED lamps?
P2. How many signal heads are proposed to be replaced wit	h LED lamps? 294
Estimated Annual Produc	tivity Benefit: \$108,000

Energy and Environment Benefits	
Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benef	it: \$76,395

Estimated Annual Benefit:	\$184,400
Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio:	8.20



### Project Justification - Intersection Communication Expansion

Design-build and integrate fiber optic links between existing fiber infrastructure and signal systems, or procure and install cellular Ethernet modems.

Regi	on: Northeast	
Proposed Project Na	ne: NE Communication 2016 Inst	tallation
Requested	By: Robert Schuumans	
What is the anticipated cost of the project?	\$50,000	
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool pre	Signal Communications	d in the vicinity
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool pre	sets, provide the anticipated level of need	
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool pre	sets, provide the anticipated level of need Default TIP MEDIUI	М
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool pre of the proposed project:	Sets, provide the anticipated level of need Default TIP MEDIUI Safety MEDIUI	M M
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool pre of the proposed project:	Sets, provide the anticipated level of need Default TIP MEDIUI Safety MEDIUI Mobility (Present) MEDIUI	M M
Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool pre of the proposed project:	Sets, provide the anticipated level of need Default TIP MEDIUI Safety MEDIUI Mobility (Present) MEDIUI	M M

4 if multiple locations are included, be sure to provide the cumulative responses to the questions below.

1

Indicate number of intersections this request accounts for:

5 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a result of this project?		
Safety	YES	
Mobility (Reduction of Travel Time Delay or Variability	YES	
/ Increased Throughput)	TES	
Productivity (Improved Maintenance)	YES	

#### Safety Benefits

S1. Indicate the total number of collisions, by type, at the proposed coordinated location. If this is an addition to an existing system, indicate those collisions only along the new section of coordinated corridor.



Mobility Benefits	
What is the actimated AADT	for all vehicles entering the intersection (the Needs Analysis Tool can be used to
	along the intersecting roadways)?
	aiong the intersecting roduways):
	20,000 vehicles per day
M2 What is the average Belative	Need at this intersection according to the Needs Analysis Tool - Service preset?
Wiz. What is the average relative	
	HIGH
	Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$72,000
Due doubt the Deve file	
Productivity Benefits	
D1	ty benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for
how long maintenance effort	ts have been increasing at this intersection(s).
	1 year
How many Cartegraph ticket	s have been required at this location(s) over the length of time indicated above
P2. in P1?	
	200 tickets
Based on the nature of the C	artegraph tickets, estimate the percent ticket reduction that will occur after the
P3. proposed intersection(s) can	be communicated with remotely.
	31 - 50 percent ticket reduction
	Estimated Annual Productivity Benefit: \$184,700
Energy and Environment Benefits	

E1. Energy and Environment benefits are determined based on average travel time reduction.

Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benefit:

Estimated Annual Benefit:	\$359,700
Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio:	7.19

\$27,000



# Project Justification - Software Upgrade Upgrade, install or replace software.

Regior	n:
Proposed Project Name	2:
Requested By	/:
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	
2 What is the primary purpose of the project?	
3 Briefly describe the anticipated benefit of the propose	d software upgrade.
4 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a re	esult of this project?
Safet	y
Mobility (Reduction of Travel Time Delay or Variability	

Increased Throughput)	
Productivity (Improved Maintenance)	
Energy and Environment	

Safety Benefits	
S1.	Briefly describe the anticipated <b>safety</b> benefit of the proposed software upgrade.
S2.	Provide a cost estimation of <b>safety</b> benefit to be realized with the proposed software. This should only include benefit related directly to the software and not with any associated hardware.
	Include benefit related directly to the software and not with any associated hardware.
S3.	Describe your methodology and assumptions in developing your estimation in S2. Provide any references and formulas used.
	Estimated Annual Safety Benefit: \$0

Mobility Benefits		
wobility belief	165	
M1.	Briefly describe the anticipated <b>mobility</b> benefit of the proposed software upgrade.	
	Provide a cost estimation of <b>mobility</b> benefit to be realized with the proposed software. This should only	
M2.	include benefit related directly to the software and not with any associated hardware.	
	Describe your methodology and economics in developing your estimation in M2. Dravide on references	
IVI3.	Describe your methodology and assumptions in developing your estimation in M2. Provide any references	
	Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$0	
Productivity B	enefits	
P1.	Briefly describe the anticipated <b>productivity</b> benefit of the proposed software upgrade.	
	remote software updates	
50	What is the estimated Staff hours saved per month due to the deployment of the proposed software	
P2.	project?	
	IT hours per month Electrical Tech hours per month	
	Civil Engineer hours per month Operator hours per month	
	Estimated Annual Productivity Benefit: \$0	

Energy and Environment Benefits

E1. Briefly describe the anticipated energy and environment benefit of the proposed software upgrade.

E2. Provide a cost estimation of **energy and environment** benefit to be realized with the proposed software. This should only include benefit related directly to the software and not with any associated hardware.

	Describe your methodology and assumptions in developing your estimation in E2. Provide any references
	and formulas used.

Estimated Annual Energy and Environment Benefit:

Estimated Annual Benefit: \$0 Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio: #DIV/0!

\$0



Project Justification - ITS Device Lifecycle Replacement

Upgrade, install or replace detection, controllers, battery backup, etc.

Region:	BTO
Proposed Project Name:	CCTV Camera Lifecycle Replacement
Requested By:	Don Schell
1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?	\$265,000
Provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the p	roposed project using the following Needs
<sup>2</sup> Analysis Tool presets:	
[	Default TIP MEDIUM
	Safety MEDIUM
Mobility	(Present) MEDIUM
-	ty (Future) HIGH
	Service LOW
Freight Pe	rformance HIGH
3 Indicate whether there is expected to be a Productivity ben	nefit as a result of this project.
Productivity (Improved Maintenance)	YES
4 Indicate number of locations this request accounts for:	
	20
Productivity Benefits	
It is accumed that productivity hopofits will be realized three	hugh reduced maintenance offerts. Estimate
P1. It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized thro for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at t	
for now long maintenance errorts have been increasing at t	ne proposed device replacement location(s).
	1 year
How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this lo	
above in P1? (if request is for multiple intersections, include	e cumulative total here)
	164 tickets
P3. What was the total cost of these tickets?	\$204,946
Estimated Annual Productivit	ty Benefit: \$198,678
Estimated Annual	Benefit: \$198,700
	. ,
Estimated Benefit/Cos	st Ratio: 0.75



## Project Justification - ITS DMS Warrants

New DMS deployment.

Region: Proposed Project Name: Requested By:	DMS Deployment in Stevens Point
What is the anticipated cost of the project (total design, construction, and communication cost)?	\$100,000

Please complete the Warrant Analysis below to determine which warrant best aligns to the project.
 The summary of your results is listed here:

W1, Weather Conditions	NOT WARRANTED
W2, Traffic Conditions	WARRANTED
W3, Traffic Control	NOT WARRANTED
W4, Special Events	NOT WARRANTED
W5, Parking Availability	NOT WARRANTED
W6, Public Transportation	NOT WARRANTED

#### **DMS Warrant Analysis:**

ation	Response
If the location is prone to weather situations that travelers would not otherwise be forewarned about (e.g. spots where fog regularly forms, bridges that ice early, mountain passes with weather that differs from approaches).	NO
If there is available road weather information for the area downstream of the candidate DMS location.	NO
If there is the capability (either manually by staff members or automated through a condition reporting system) to create event specific descriptions of weather conditions to be displayed on the DMS.	NO
If there is a need to disseminate event specific descriptions (rather than simply activating a flashing warning sign that says "Weather Alert When Flashing").	NO
If there are options for either alternate routes or services, that might be described on the DMS, where travelers may wait out conditions.	NO
If flashing beacon signs have been tried and not proven to generate responses from travelers.	NO
If weather events contribute to a significant number of crashes or road closures which have major impacts to travelers.	NO
	If the location is prone to weather situations that travelers would not otherwise be forewarned about (e.g. spots where fog regularly forms, bridges that ice early, mountain passes with weather that differs from approaches). If there is available road weather information for the area downstream of the candidate DMS location. If there is the capability (either manually by staff members or automated through a condition reporting system) to create event specific descriptions of weather conditions to be displayed on the DMS. If there is a need to disseminate event specific descriptions (rather than simply activating a flashing warning sign that says "Weather Alert When Flashing"). If there are options for either alternate routes or services, that might be described on the DMS, where travelers may wait out conditions. If flashing beacon signs have been tried and not proven to generate responses from travelers.

nsidera	ation	Respons
1	If the target area is monitored by CCTV cameras, traffic detectors, or another method of monitoring the conditions, or has travel times for the downstream stretch of road.	
2 Events occurring in the area unexpectedly impact or impede traffic (e.g. close a lane, encounter slow traffic in one or more lanes, or events on the shoulder) an average of at least two times per month.		NO
3	If there are acceptable alternate routes with capacity to accept vehicles that may deviate based upon the information.	
4	4 If the location is a stretch of road where no alternate route are possible and travelers would benefit from information describing the cause and/or extent of delays in order to relieve driver anxiety or confusion.	
5	If there are horizontal or vertical curves that create safety issues when traffic is stopped unexpectedly.	
6	The route being considered for the DMS has on average at least 2 hours per day of peak period travel where traffic flow exceeds 1,100 veh/hr/lane.	YES
7	The route being considered for the DMS has on average experienced conditions considered Level of Service C.	
8	The route being considered for the DMS experiences average annual daily traffic (AADT) of 16,800 for a 2 lane road; 33,600 for a 4 lane road; 50,400 for a 6 lane road, 67,200 for an 8 lane road.	NO

Consideration		
1	The candidate location is upstream of an area with construction or maintenance activities that are expected to cau	e at NO
1	least 15 minutes of delay to the mainline traffic.	
2	If the candidate location is upstream of traffic control or construction/maintenance activities that are expected to	NO
	change more frequently than once every 60 days.	
3	If the posted work zone speed limit is greater than 45 MPH.	NO
	DMS Warrant #3 is: NOT	WARRANTED

Consider	ation	Response
1	If the location contains a venue that houses ticketed events (typically with rapid and tight arrival patterns for a specified start time).	NO
2	If the event venue typically houses at least two weekday (M-F) ticketed event per week (including seasonal sporting events that only occur during the season).	NO
3	If the event venue typically houses at least 10 events per year attracting 30,000 visitors or more.	NO
4	If the setting of the venue is such that mainline traffic (not attending the event) is impacted by the conditions.	NO
5	If there are alternate parking or traffic options that could be displayed on signs to direct visitors to more preferred options.	NO

 $_3$  Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the  $_3$  vicinity of the proposed project:

Default TIP	N	1EDIUM
Safety	N	1EDIUM
Mobility (Present)	N	1EDIUM
Mobility (Future)		HIGH
Service		LOW
Freight Performance		HIGH
Estimate the <u>average</u> number of traffic/weather/spec positively affected by use of the proposed DMS.	cial events that occur p	er year that will be events per year
5 Estimate the average duration (minutes) of traffic even will be positively affected by use of the proposed DM		r incidents) that occur and minutes
6 Estimate the average travel time savings from adjusti proposed DMS.	ing one's route based o	on direction given on the minutes
<ul><li>Provide the current AADT along the corridor where the Analysis Tool may be used to obtain the value).</li></ul>		oe deployed (the Needs veh per day
Estimated An	nual Mobility Benefit:	\$61,000
Estimated Annual Energy and	Environment Benefit:	\$23,000
Estimated	d Annual Benefit:	\$84,000
Estimated Be	enefit/Cost Ratio:	0.84



Project Justification - ITS Camera Warrants

New Camera deployment.

Region:	
Proposed Project Name:	
Requested By:	

1 What is the anticipated cost of the project?

Please complete the warrant analysis below. If more than one camera is being requested, it is recommended that each location is considered separately because there may be different responses to the questions below. However, if multiple camera locations are included in this analysis, respond to each question collectively. Based on your responses , the

following CCTV Camera Warrants have been met:

NOT WARRANTED
NOT WARRANTED
NOT WARRANTED
NOT WARRANTED
NOT WARRANTED
PARTIALLY WARRANTED

#### **CCTV Camera Warrant Analysis:**

nsidera	ation	Response	
1 There are typically periods of time at least twice per week of 'loaded' cycles (i.e. where the vehicles in the queue do not all dissipate in one green cycle) that last 15 minutes or longer.			
The signalized intersection has sufficient cross street traffic such that visual observation is needed determining if alternate signal timings are appropriate to benefit the primary direction of flow (i.e. in order to verify that the secondary street is not backing up).			
3 The operations personnel have the ability to activate special event timing plans remotely.		NO	

Consideration		Response		
1	The candidate location encounters incidents as frequently as twice per month for arterial streets or once per month for freeways.	NO		
2	2 The incidents and events that occur on freeways typically cause delay to travelers of at least 15 minutes while the incident is active and has not been cleared.			
3	3 The incidents and events that occur on arterials typically impact travel such that the signal progression is no longer occurring and vehicles in queues are unable to clear intersections during the cycle's allotted green time.			
4	4 Incident location verification is needed by 911 dispatchers (e.g. large complex interchange where drivers don't know where they are, closely spaced interchanges).			
5	5 The location encounters at least 2 hours per day of peak period travel where traffic flow exceeds 1,100 veh/hr/lane.			
6	The location encounters conditions considered Level of Service C.			
7 The location encounters average annual daily traffic (AADT) of 16,800 for a 2 lane road; 33,600 for a 4 lane road; 50,400 for a 6 lane road, 67,200 for an 8 lane road.		NO		

nsidera	ation	Respons
1	The location typically encounters at least 10 weather events each season.	NO
2 Weather events have a significant impact to travelers at this location (due to such circumstances as either: local terrain, lack of alternate routes, winding or steep routes), and it is a location that travelers are frequently concerned about.		NO
3 If there are no nearby weather sensors reporting real-time conditions.		NO
4 If there are no regular manual observations and reports of visibility, precipitation, or pavement temperatures.		NO
5 If nearby weather sensors would be enhanced through the capability of visual observation.		NO

nsidera	ation	Response
1	The location visible by the camera image has a history of congestion on a regular basis (i.e. each commuter day is a candidate for congestion).	NO
2 The location is prone to weather situations that travelers would not otherwise be forewarned about (e.g. spots where fog regularly forms, bridges that ice early, mountain passes with weather that differs from approaches).		NO
3	The location is in a remote area that receives considerable traffic volume due to commercial vehicle traffic or recreational traffic.	NO
4 The majority of travelers to the area have Internet access in proximity to the area where camera images are of value to travelers prior to departure.		NO

1       The proper operations of the device can be remotely monitored by a camera.       1         2       The failure of the device presents a safety hazard.       1         3       The camera operation would avoid uppercent tips to varify functionality of the field device.       1	Response	onsideration	Considera
	NO	1 The proper operations of the device can be remotely monitored by a camera.	1
2 The samera energies would avoid unnecessary trins to varify functionality of the field device	NO	2 The failure of the device presents a safety hazard.	2
3 The camera operation would avoid unnecessary trips to verify functionality of the field device.	NO	3 The camera operation would avoid unnecessary trips to verify functionality of the field device.	3

nsidera	ation	Response
1	The alignment or traffic control that is visible by a camera image is expected to change periodically during the construction period.	NO
2	The construction zone encounters periods of queues or delays for at least 30 minutes each day.	NO
3	The construction zone is in a location where there is not a convenient alternate route for the majority of traffic to deviate from the typical route.	YES

<sup>3</sup> Using each of the following Needs Analysis Tool presets, provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of the proposed project:

Default TIP	
Safety	
Mobility (Present)	
Mobility (Future)	
Service	
Freight Performance	

Safety Be	nefits				
S1. How many crashes, by type, occurred in the past year at this intersection or corridor?					
	Fatal Crashes				
	Incapacitating Injury Crashes				
	Non-incapacitating Injury Crashes				
	Possible Injury Crashes				
	Property Damage Only Crashes				
	Estimated Safety Benefit: \$0				

Mobility Be	nefits
M1 (W1).	What is the estimated AADT for all vehicles entering the intersection?
· · /	vehicles per day
	What is the average Delative Need at this interpretion according to the Needs Analysis Teal. Comise
M2 (W1).	What is the average Relative Need at this intersection according to the Needs Analysis Tool - Service preset?
N41 (14/2	
W3, W4,	Estimate the <u>average</u> number of traffic events that occur per year within site distance of the proposed camera(s).
W6).	camera(s).
	events per year
M2 (W2.	
W3, W4,	Estimate the average duration (minutes) of the traffic events that occur within site distance of the proposed camera.
W6).	
	minutes
M3 (W2,	Provide the current AADT along the corridor where the proposed camera will be deployed (the Needs
	Analysis Tool may be used to obtain the value).
W6).	veh per day
	Estimated Annual Mobility Benefit: \$0
Productivit	y Benefits
Productivit	
	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate
	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement
P1.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s).
	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement
P1.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s).
P1. P2.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s).
P1. P2.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s). How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in P1? (if request is for multiple intersections, include cumulative total here) tickets
P1. P2. P3.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s).
P1. P2. P3.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s). How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in P1? (if request is for multiple intersections, include cumulative total here) tickets
P1. P2. P3.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s).
P1. P2. P3.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s). How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in P1? (if request is for multiple intersections, include cumulative total here) tickets What was the total cost of these tickets? What is the total number of replacement locations?
P1. P2. P3.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s).
P1. P2. P3. P4.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s). How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in P1? (if request is for multiple intersections, include cumulative total here) What was the total cost of these tickets? What is the total number of replacement locations? Estimated Annual Productivity Benefit: \$0
P1. P2. P3. P4.	It is assumed that productivity benefits will be realized through reduced maintenance efforts. Estimate for how long maintenance efforts have been increasing at the proposed device replacement location(s). How many Cartegraph tickets have been required at this location over the length of time indicated above in P1? (if request is for multiple intersections, include cumulative total here) tickets What was the total cost of these tickets? What is the total number of replacement locations?

Estimated Annual Benefit:	\$0
Estimated Benefit/Cost Ratio:	#DIV/0!



## Project Justification - Other

Region:		
Proposed Project Name:		
Proposed Project Benefit Analyst:		
What is the expected design and construction cost 1 (total project cost)?		
Provide the anticipated level of need in the vicinity of th Analysis Tool presets:	e proposed pr	oject using the following Needs
	Default TIP	
	Safety	
Mob	ility (Present)	
Мо	bility (Future)	
	Service	
Freight	Performance	
3 Indicate the type of benefit(s) that are expected as a res	ult of this proj	ject?
Safety	YES	
Mobility (Reduction of Travel Time Delay or Variability / Increased Throughput)	YES	
Productivity (Improved Maintenance)	YES	
Energy and Environment	YES	

#### Safety Benefits

S1. Describe the anticipated Safety benefits of the proposed project.

**Mobility Benefits** 

M1. Describe the anticipated Mobility benefits of the proposed project.

#### **Productivity Benefits**

P1. Describe the anticipated Productivity benefits of the proposed project.

#### Energy and Environment Benefits

E1. Describe the anticipated Energy and Environment benefits of the proposed project.